

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,373	07/18/2003	Eric Aylaian	A-72337/AJT	3183	
32940	7590 10/12/2006		EXAM	INER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 555 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1000 SUITE 1000			FICK, ANTHONY D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CISCO, CA 94104		1753		
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,373	AYLAIAN, ERIC				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Fick	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	uly 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/623,373 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 through 7, 12 through 14, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gray (U.S. 6,870,089).

Gray discloses a system and apparatus for charging electronic devices using solar energy. The apparatus is shown in figure 3.

Regarding claim 1, figure 3 shows a solar collector comprising a plurality of substrates, each substrate having a photovoltaic cell formed on a first surface, the plurality including a first substrate, 304, and a second substrate, 305. Figure 3 further shows the first surfaces of the substrates are oriented at an angle relative to each other

Application/Control Number: 10/623,373

Art Unit: 1753

such that light reflected from the first substrate is reflected onto the first surface of the second substrate.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, claim 2 describes a property of the solar collector but does not add any new structure, and thus the structure of Gray that meets claim 1 also meets claim 2. Claim 3 is read to require the angle between the substrates is at least 20 degrees. Figure 3 shows an angle larger than 20 degrees between the substrates.

Regarding claim 4, figure 3 further shows the second substrate is also oriented to receive light and light reflected from the second substrate is reflected onto the first surface of the first substrate.

Regarding claims 5 and 7, figure 3 shows the substrates have edges proximal to each other and the apex of the angle between the substrates. The figure also shows the substrates have a second surface with the first surfaces being substantially planar and parallel to the second surfaces.

Regarding claim 6, Gray does not disclose the use of anti-reflective coating, therefore the surfaces are substantially absent an anti-reflective coating.

Regarding claim 12, figure 4 shows another embodiment with three substrates having a photovoltaic cell formed on the first surface, 401, 402 and 404, the substrates oriented at angles relative to each other such that light reflected off the first substrate, 401, is reflected onto the first surface of at least the second substrate, 402.

Regarding claim 13, figure 4 also shows substrates 401 and 402 having edges right next to each other and substrate 404 having an edge that is proximal to the edges of 401 and 402.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,373

Art Unit: 1753

Regarding claim 26, figure 3 shows a solar collector comprising a plurality of substrates, each substrate having a photovoltaic cell formed on a first surface, the plurality including a first substrate, 304, and a second substrate, 305. Figure 3 further shows the first surfaces of the substrates are oriented at an angle relative to each other such that light reflected from the first substrate is reflected onto the first surface of the second substrate. Gray also discloses the use of an enclosure over the solar panels, solar panel cover, the cover selectively allowing UV light to pass through, thus concentrating the UV light that hits the solar cells (column 6, paragraph 4).

Regarding claim 27, Gray further describes the enclosure having inner wall surfaces that reflect light back onto the solar cells to improve the efficiency of the device (column 7, top paragraph).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8 through 10 and 23 through 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray as applied to claims 1 through 7, 12 through 14, 26 and 27 above, and further in view of King et al. (U.S. 6,586,669).

The disclosure of Gray is as stated above for claims 1 through 7, 12 through 14, 26 and 27.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,373

Art Unit: 1753

The difference between Gray and the claims is the requirement of specific photovoltaic cells.

King teaches different tandem solar cells with improved characteristics. A monolithic cell of King includes multiple junctions comprising a GaInP solar cell, a GaAsSb solar cell, and Ge solar cell (column 4, paragraph 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the multi-junction monolithic cell of King within the apparatus of Gray because the cells of King use multiple layers to improve the output of the device by absorbing different parts of the energy distribution in sunlight (King column 1, paragraph 3) and the cells of King eliminate or reduce the sources of non-ideal losses and bring the device performance closer to theoretical limits (King column 2, paragraph 1). Because King and Gray are both concerned with solar cells, one would have a reasonable expectation of success from the combination.

Regarding claims 8 and 23, the solar cells of King are monolithic tandem cells and thus the combination meets the claims.

Regarding claims 9 and 24, the solar cells of King comprise a Ge based solar cell, a GaInP based solar cell and a GaAs based solar cell and thus the combination meets the claims.

Regarding claims 10 and 25, the solar cells of King are multiple-junction solar cells, thus the combination meets the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,373 Page 6

Art Unit: 1753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Fick whose telephone number is (571) 272-6393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Fick ADF AU 1753

October 2, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700